

EFFECT OF MICROBIAL INOCULANTS AND INORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF HYBRID CABBAGE (BRASSICA OLERACEA L. VAR. CAPITATA)

VIJAY KUMAR SINGH*, SANGEETA SHREE, RAVI KUMAR, PARAMVEER SINGH AND RAVI GOPAL SINGH

Department of Horticulture (Vegetable & Floriculture)

Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur - 813 210

e-mail: vijaykumarsinghbgp@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Cabbage
Inorganic fertilizers
Bio-fertilizers

Received on :

15.05.2015

Accepted on :

20.08.2015

*Corresponding
author

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted to know the effect of different levels of inorganic fertilizers viz., $F_1 = 80:40:40$ Kg NPK/ha, $F_2 = 120:60:60$ Kg NPK/ha, $F_3 = 160:80:80$ Kg NPK/ha, $F_4 = 200:100:100$ Kg NPK/ha and $F_5 = 240:120:120$ Kg NPK/ha and bio-fertilizers viz., M_0 -0, M_1 -Azotobacter, M_2 -Azospirillum, M_3 -VAM and M_4 -PSB. The experiment comprised of 25 different treatment combinations and replicated thrice. Results from the study indicated that combined application of NPK @ 200:100:100 Kg/ha along with seedling treatment with *Azospirillum* gave significantly higher plant height (30.40cm), plant spread (54.50cm), head diameter (24.32cm), head depth (21.71cm), head weight (1.878 Kg) and head yield (868.70 q/ha). However, days taken to head formation and head maturity, number of outer leaves/plant and number of inner leaves/head did not show significant interaction effects. Therefore, it may be concluded that combined application of NPK @ 200:100:100 kg/ha along with seedling treatment with *Azospirillum* was the most effective treatment combination for higher growth and yield in cabbage.

INTRODUCTION

Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*), is one of the most important vegetable of the family, Brassicaceae. It is widely grown all over India and abroad for its high nutritive values, high productivity and wider adaptability. It can withstand rough handlings as well as long distance transport and thus fetch better return. However, the national productivity of cabbage is far below the global average productivity. Low productivity of cabbage may be attributed to poor management practices rather than the uncontrollable climatic factors. Continuous application of huge amount of chemical fertilizers hampers the soil health and generates pollution. Mineral nutrition does play an important role in influencing the quality of crops but it is a fact that the soil health deteriorates (Savci, 2012). The integrated nutrient management paves the way to overcome these problems, which involves conjunctive use of chemical fertilizers and organic manures to sustain crop production as well as maintenance of soil health. Systematic approach to nutrient management by tapping all possible sources of organic and inorganic nutrients in a judicious manner to maintain soil fertility and crop productivity is the essence of integrated nutrient management (INM). In addition, utilization of bio-fertilizers, which have the ability to enrich the soil with beneficial microorganisms as well as to mobilize the nutritionally important elements from non-usable to usable forms through biological processes resulting in enhanced production of fruits and vegetables offer an alternative. Among the nitrogen fixing bacteria, *Azotobacter*, not only provides nitrogen, but also synthesizes growth promoting hormones

such as IAA and GA. *Azospirillum* also helps in plant growth and increases the yield of crops by improving root development, mineral uptake etc. The positive role of these bio-fertilizers has been recorded in many vegetables and spice crops by different scientists. Earlier studies have shown that plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) could stimulate the growth and yield of cabbage (Turan et al. 2014). To maintain long term soil health and productivity there is a need for integrated nutrient management through manures and bio-fertilizers apart from costly chemical fertilizers for better yield of the crop (Mondal et al. 2003). Use of bio-fertilizers is also needed as an alternative source to bring forth the eco-friendly methods of farming. The concept of sustainable agriculture envisages primary emphasis on manipulation and management of biological systems not only to maximize yield but also to stabilize the agro-systems and to minimize industrial input demands. In a country like India a large majority of the farmers are poor and have small holdings, the use of bio-fertilizers in combination with chemical fertilizers offers a great opportunity to increase the crop production at less cost. The extent of benefit from these microorganisms depends on their number and their efficiency which however, is governed by soil and environmental factors. When the number and activity of specific microorganism is sub-optimal, artificially multiplied bio fertilizers are used to hasten the biological activity to improve availability of plant nutrient. (Kumari et al. 2015).

Thus, it makes it imperative to make a concerted efforts to bridge the gap between potential yield and actual yield harvested by the farmers to make cabbage cultivation more remunerative through the better management of inputs like

inorganic nutrients and microbial inoculants for better exploitation of yield potentialities. Therefore, this study was carried out to investigate the effect of different levels of inorganic fertilizers and microbial inoculants on growth and yield of cabbage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted in the *Rabi* season at Bihar Agricultural College, Sabour. The design of experiment was RBD (Factorial), replicated thrice and a popular Mahyco hybrid cabbage No-139 was used for the study. Seeds were sown and covered with thin layer of soil mixed with FYM. There after the bed was covered with paddy straws. Twenty five days old seedlings were used for transplanting in the main field.

Microbial inoculants were used as seedling inoculation and twenty five days seedlings were transplanted in the main field at the spacing of 45 × 45 cm. The soil and the weather condition prevailing during the period of investigation was close to normal for the place and could be termed congenial for growth and development of cabbage.

The treatment comprised of four microbial inoculants (M_0 -0, and M_1 -Azotobacter, M_2 -Azospirillum, M_3 -VAM, M_4 -PSB) and five different fertility levels (F_1 =80:40:40 : : N:P:K kg/ha, F_2 =120:60:60 : : N:P:K kg/ha, F_3 =160:80:80 : : N:P:K kg/ha, F_4 =200:100:100 N:P:K kg/ha and F_5 =240:120:120 N:P:K kg/ha) in different combinations. Treatment wise different microbial inoculants in @ 10g/litre of water were mixed and required quantity of solution was prepared. The roots of uprooted seedlings were dipped in this solution for 20 minutes

before transplantation. Half dose of nitrogen as urea with full dose of phosphorus (P_2O_5) as single super phosphate and potash (K_2O) as murate of potash were applied before planting of seedling as basal dressing as per the treatments specification. The desired quantity of fertilizers as per treatments were mixed thoroughly and the mixture was placed and incorporated in the top 6-8 layer of soil on the point marked for transplanting of each seedlings. After placement and incorporation of the fertilizer mixtures, seedlings were transplanted. The remaining half amount of nitrogen was top dressed in two equal split doses at 25 days and 50 days after transplanting. Five plants in each treatment combination and in each replication were randomly selected and tagged properly for recording various observations. The observation recorded for the aforesaid five plants were worked out to give mean in respect of all the characters, viz. plant height (cm), plant spread (cm), number of outer leaves/plant, number of inner leaves/plant, number of days taken to head initiation after transplanting, number of days to head maturity after transplanting diameter of head (cm), weight of head (kg), yield/plot (kg), yield (q/ha). The statistical analysis of the data recorded in all observations was carried out by the method of "Analysis of the variance prescribed by Fisher and Yates (1963). Comparison of treatment was made with the help of critical differences (C.D.).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The maximum plant height (28.0cm), number of outer leaves (22.72) and plant spread (49.78cm) were obtained with the treatment, M_2 (Azospirillum) and were significantly superior to other bio-fertilizers. The possible reason for this could be some growth promoting substances secreted by the bio-fertilizers,

Table 1: Effect of bio-fertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on plant height (cm), plant spread (cm) and number of outer leaves/plant of cabbage (Pooled results of two years)

| Bio-fertilizers | Levels of inorganic fertilizers | | | | | Mean |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|-------|
| | F_1 -N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₄₀ | F_2 -N ₁₂₀ P ₆₀ K ₆₀ | F_3 -N ₁₆₀ P ₈₀ K ₈₀ | F_4 -N ₂₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₁₀₀ | F_5 -N ₂₄₀ P ₁₂₀ K ₁₂₀ | |
| Plant height (cm) | | | | | | |
| M_0 -0 | 16.86 | 23.91 | 24.91 | 25.70 | 26.36 | 23.55 |
| M_1 -Azotob. | 23.24 | 25.61 | 27.29 | 29.77 | 28.07 | 26.79 |
| M_2 -Azosp. | 24.43 | 27.86 | 29.09 | 30.40 | 28.37 | 28.03 |
| M_3 -VAM | 22.87 | 25.20 | 26.79 | 28.95 | 27.42 | 26.24 |
| M_4 -PSB | 23.06 | 25.44 | 27.68 | 29.44 | 27.80 | 26.68 |
| Mean | 22.09 | 25.60 | 27.15 | 28.85 | 27.60 | |
| Plant spread (cm) | | | | | | |
| M_0 -0 | 28.00 | 42.36 | 44.13 | 45.53 | 48.20 | 41.64 |
| M_1 -Azotob. | 41.16 | 45.36 | 48.35 | 52.74 | 49.71 | 47.46 |
| M_2 -Azosp. | 43.29 | 49.35 | 51.53 | 54.50 | 50.25 | 49.78 |
| M_3 -VAM | 40.51 | 44.64 | 47.46 | 51.27 | 48.68 | 46.51 |
| M_4 -PSB | 40.85 | 45.05 | 49.04 | 52.15 | 49.25 | 47.27 |
| Mean | 38.76 | 45.35 | 48.10 | 51.23 | 49.22 | |
| Number of outer leaves/plant | | | | | | |
| M_0 -0 | 18.40 | 20.30 | 21.10 | 21.70 | 22.10 | 20.72 |
| M_1 -Azotob. | 20.60 | 21.80 | 22.60 | 23.20 | 22.60 | 22.16 |
| M_2 -Azosp. | 20.90 | 22.70 | 23.30 | 23.80 | 22.90 | 22.72 |
| M_3 -VAM | 19.70 | 21.40 | 22.10 | 22.70 | 22.00 | 21.58 |
| M_4 -PSB | 19.90 | 21.80 | 22.70 | 23.10 | 22.40 | 21.98 |
| Mean | 19.90 | 21.60 | 22.36 | 22.90 | 22.40 | |
| C.D. at 5% | | Microbial inoculants (M) | | Inorganic Fertilizer (F) | | M × F |
| Plant height | | 0.77 | | 0.77 | | 1.63 |
| Plant spread | | 1.36 | | 1.36 | | 2.89 |
| Number of outer leaves/plant | | 0.70 | | 0.70 | | NS |

Table 2: Effect of bio-fertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on number of days to head initiation after transplanting and number of days to head maturity after transplanting of cabbage (Pooled results of two years)

| Bio-fertilizers | Levels of inorganic fertilizers | | | | | Mean |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|-------|
| | F ₁ -N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₄₀ | F ₂ -N ₁₂₀ P ₆₀ K ₆₀ | F ₃ -N ₁₆₀ P ₈₀ K ₈₀ | F ₄ -N ₂₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₁₀₀ | F ₅ -N ₂₄₀ P ₁₂₀ K ₁₂₀ | |
| Number of days to head initiation after transplanting | | | | | | |
| M ₀ -0 | 56.70 | 54.50 | 53.80 | 53.10 | 52.40 | 54.10 |
| M ₁ -Azotob. | 55.00 | 52.50 | 52.10 | 52.00 | 51.10 | 52.54 |
| M ₂ -Azosp. | 54.00 | 51.40 | 51.40 | 51.20 | 50.00 | 51.60 |
| M ₃ -VAM | 56.10 | 53.80 | 53.00 | 52.70 | 51.90 | 53.50 |
| M ₄ -PSB | 55.40 | 52.80 | 52.50 | 52.50 | 51.50 | 52.94 |
| Mean | 55.44 | 53.00 | 52.56 | 52.30 | 51.38 | |
| Number of days to head maturity after transplanting | | | | | | |
| M ₀ -0 | 88.70 | 85.50 | 84.30 | 83.60 | 82.70 | 84.96 |
| M ₁ -Azotob. | 86.80 | 83.40 | 82.60 | 81.90 | 81.80 | 83.30 |
| M ₂ -Azosp. | 85.90 | 82.70 | 82.00 | 81.10 | 81.10 | 82.56 |
| M ₃ -VAM | 88.10 | 84.60 | 83.60 | 82.60 | 82.40 | 84.26 |
| M ₄ -PSB | 87.00 | 84.00 | 83.20 | 82.60 | 82.20 | 83.80 |
| Mean | 87.30 | 84.04 | 83.14 | 82.36 | 82.04 | |
| C.D. at 5% | | Microbial inoculants (M) | | Inorganic Fertilizer (F) | | M × F |
| Number of days to head formation after transplanting | | NS | | 1.74 | | NS |
| Number of days to head maturity after transplanting | | NS | | 2.74 | | NS |

Table 3: Effect of bio-fertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on diameter of head (cm) and weight of head (Kg) of cabbage (Pooled results of two years)

| Bio-fertilizers | Levels of inorganic fertilizers | | | | | Mean |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|-------|
| | F ₁ -N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₄₀ | F ₂ -N ₁₂₀ P ₆₀ K ₆₀ | F ₃ -N ₁₆₀ P ₈₀ K ₈₀ | F ₄ -N ₂₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₁₀₀ | F ₅ -N ₂₄₀ P ₁₂₀ K ₁₂₀ | |
| Diameter of head (cm) | | | | | | |
| M ₀ -0 | 12.23 | 19.13 | 19.93 | 20.56 | 21.09 | 18.58 |
| M ₁ -Azotob. | 18.59 | 20.48 | 21.83 | 23.82 | 22.45 | 21.43 |
| M ₂ -Azosp. | 19.55 | 22.28 | 23.27 | 24.32 | 22.69 | 22.42 |
| M ₃ -VAM | 18.29 | 20.16 | 21.43 | 23.15 | 21.98 | 21.00 |
| M ₄ -PSB | 18.45 | 20.34 | 21.77 | 23.55 | 22.24 | 21.27 |
| Mean | 17.42 | 20.48 | 21.64 | 23.08 | 22.09 | |
| Weight of head (Kg) | | | | | | |
| M ₀ -0 | 0.907 | 1.503 | 1.561 | 1.607 | 1.645 | 1.444 |
| M ₁ -Azotob. | 1.464 | 1.602 | 1.699 | 1.842 | 1.744 | 1.670 |
| M ₂ -Azosp. | 1.534 | 1.732 | 1.803 | 1.878 | 1.762 | 1.741 |
| M ₃ -VAM | 1.437 | 1.578 | 1.670 | 1.794 | 1.710 | 1.637 |
| M ₄ -PSB | 1.454 | 1.591 | 1.694 | 1.823 | 1.729 | 1.658 |
| Mean | 1.359 | 1.601 | 1.685 | 1.789 | 1.718 | |
| C.D. at 5% | | Microbial inoculants (M) | | Inorganic Fertilizer (F) | | M × F |
| Diameter of head | | 0.58 | | 0.58 | | 1.23 |
| Depth of head | | 0.57 | | 0.57 | | 1.20 |
| Weight of head | | 0.052 | | 0.052 | | 0.111 |

leading to better root development, better transportation of water and more uptake and deposition of nutrients. These findings are in close agreement with those reported by Bhagavantagoudra and Rokhade (2001) and Sharma (2002) in cabbage and Bhardwaj *et al.*, (2007) in broccoli. The maximum growth of plant in terms of height (30.40cm), number of outer leaves/plant (22.90) and plant spread (51.23) was at fertility level F₄(N₂₀₀P₁₀₀K₁₀₀). The adequate supply of the three major nutrients NPK is expected to regulate plant physiological functions and morphological responses favorably. These results are in close proximity with the findings of Patil *et al.* (2003) in knolkhol and Bhardwaj *et al.* (2007) in broccoli. Plant height and plant spread were influenced significantly due to interaction effect of chemical and bio-fertilizers, being maximum (30.40 cm and 54.50 cm respectively) at with M₂

F₄. This might be due to fact that higher fertility levels increase photosynthetic capacity and auxin levels in the plant. The increase in plant growth induced by NPK may result in more assimilation of carbohydrates. Higher vegetative growth of plant in case of bio-fertilizers application might be due to better growth and elongation of leaves. These results are closely in consonance with the findings reported earlier by Devi *et al.* (2003) in cabbage and Chaudhury *et al.* (2004) in cauliflower. The various levels of microbial inoculants showed non-significant effect on head formation and head maturity after transplanting. The plants grown under the higher level of inorganic fertilizers F₅, (N₂₄₀P₁₂₀K₁₂₀) were earliest with respect to the days taken for initiation of head formation(30.40) and for head maturity(54.50) after transplanting. The lowest fertility

Table 4: Effect of bio-fertilizers and inorganic fertilizers on number of inner leaves/plant and head yield (q/ha) of cabbage

| Bio-fertilizers | Levels of inorganic fertilizers | | | | | Mean |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--------|
| | F ₁ -N ₈₀ P ₄₀ K ₄₀ | F ₂ -N ₁₂₀ P ₆₀ K ₆₀ | F ₃ -N ₁₆₀ P ₈₀ K ₈₀ | F ₄ -N ₂₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₁₀₀ | F ₅ -N ₂₄₀ P ₁₂₀ K ₁₂₀ | |
| Number of inner leaves/plant | | | | | | |
| M ₀ -0 | 39.40 | 41.00 | 42.00 | 42.50 | 42.70 | 41.52 |
| M ₁ -Azotob. | 41.10 | 42.50 | 43.60 | 45.20 | 44.60 | 43.40 |
| M ₂ -Azosp. | 43.70 | 45.00 | 44.90 | 46.40 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
| M ₃ -VAM | 42.20 | 42.60 | 43.10 | 43.90 | 42.80 | 42.92 |
| M ₄ -PSB | 42.80 | 44.00 | 44.10 | 44.60 | 44.20 | 43.94 |
| Mean | 41.84 | 43.02 | 42.54 | 44.52 | 43.86 | |
| Head yield (q/ha) | | | | | | |
| M ₀ -0 | 435.58 | 683.45 | 712.10 | 734.45 | 753.35 | 663.79 |
| M ₁ -Azotob. | 664.06 | 731.86 | 780.03 | 850.91 | 802.13 | 765.80 |
| M ₂ -Azosp. | 698.39 | 796.20 | 831.28 | 868.70 | 810.78 | 801.07 |
| M ₃ -VAM | 653.56 | 720.25 | 765.70 | 827.20 | 785.34 | 750.41 |
| M ₄ -PSB | 659.12 | 726.92 | 777.68 | 841.40 | 794.60 | 759.94 |
| Mean | 622.14 | 731.74 | 773.36 | 824.53 | 789.24 | |
| C.D. at 5% | Microbial inoculants (M) | | | | | M×F |
| Number of inner leaves/plant | 1.44 | | | | | NS |
| Head yield | 21.00 | | | | | 44.36 |

level F₁(N₈₀P₄₀K₄₀) significantly delayed to head formation and head maturity. The interaction effect of microbial inoculants and levels of fertility was found to be non-significant in these respects. The probable reason for earlier heading and maturity of head is due to higher NPK and increased nutrient transport from root to the aerial parts and increased rate of photosynthesis and transport of photosynthates. Similar results have also been reported by Westerveld *et al.* (2003) and Chaubey *et al.* (2006) in cabbage.

There was a significant variation among bio-fertilizers in respect of diameter, number of inner leaves per plant, weight of head and head yield. The plants developed under application of microbial inoculants M₂, (*Azospirillum*) produced heads with maximum diameter (22.42 cm), number of inner leaves per plant (45) and maximum weight of head (1.741 kg) and yield of head (801.07 q/ha). The maximum head weight was recorded with the use of microbial inoculants M₂ which was significantly superior to *Azotobacter*. It is a well-known fact that *Azospirillum* has definite role in cell division, cell enlargement, cell elongation and physiological activities. These physiological activities give beneficial response on uptake of water and nutrients development of cambial growth, respiration, co-enzyme activity, utilization of ATP, formation of RNA and cell permeability, due to these activities application of *Azospirillum* had induced effect on weight of head. The result in respect of head weight of cabbage is in complete agreement with the findings of Manivannan and Singh (2004) in cabbage. The improvement in yield might be due to higher amount of nitrogen fixed in soil by *Azospirillum* and made available to plants, and growth promoting substances like IAA, GA, cytokinins and vitamins secreted by *Azospirillum* which have beneficial effects on crop growth. Better crop due to all these factors, which might have helped in increasing photosynthetic rate and more physiological and biochemical activities which in turn, perhaps might have increased the movement of photosynthates from source to sink. Thus, finally resulted in increasing the yield and yield components. Significant increase in yield by adopting integrated nutrient management approach has also been reported by Bhardwaj *et al.* (2007) in broccoli and Khan and Pariari (2012) in chilli

and Damse *et al.* (2014) in garlic. The maximum diameter (23.55cm), number of inner leaves per plant (44.52), weight of head (1.78kg) and yield (824.53q/ha) were obtained at fertility level of F₄(N₂₀₀P₁₀₀K₁₀₀). This might be due to increased vegetative growth as induced by higher dosages of NPK which might account for carbohydrates accumulation as a result of increased photosynthesis. These results are in agreement with the findings of Agrawal and Agrawal (2003) in cabbage and Sharma *et al.* (2004) in cauliflower. Higher fertility levels probably resulted in the production of larger number of leaves and increased leaf area, which ultimately contributed towards the manufacture of more carbohydrates, consequently more weight of head. The findings pertaining to head weight are in close agreement with those reported by Bhardwaj *et al.* (2007) in broccoli.

All yield contributing characters such as diameter, depth, and weight of head were favourably influenced by combined action of NPK and microbial inoculants *Azospirillum* which ultimately increased the head yield. These results are closely in consonance with the findings reported earlier by Bahadur *et al.* (2006) in cabbage.

On the basis of results and discussion made so far, it may be concluded that application of microbial inoculants basically *Azospirillum* as seedling treatment as well as application of NPK @ 200:100:100 kg/ha was the most effective treatment combination for higher growth and yield in cabbage cultivation. Hence, the use and management of natural resources in sustainable agriculture, the microbial fertilizers hold vast potential for future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the financial assistance provided by Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur and to all those scientists whose published works have been quoted freely in the text of this research paper.

REFERENCES

Agrawal, S. and Agrawal, N. 2003. Influence of nitrogen on growth

and head character of cabbage in Chhattisgarh Region. *Progressive Horticulture*. **35(2)**: 237-238.

Bahadur, A., Singh, J., Singh, K. P., Upadhaya, A. K. and Mathura, R. 2006. Effect of organic amendments and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality attributes of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica pekinensis*). *Indian J. Agriculture Science*. **76(10)**: 596-598.

Bhagavantagoudra, K. H. and Rokhade, A. K. 2001. Effect of *Azospirillum* and Nitrogen on growth and yield of cabbage. *Karnataka J. Agriculture Science*. **14(3)**: 858-861.

Bhardwaj, A. K., Kumar, P. and Singh, R. K. 2007. Response of nitrogen and pre-planting treatment of seedlings with the *Azotobacter* on growth and productivity of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*). *The Asian J. Horticulture*. **2(1)**: 15-17.

Chaubey, T., Srivastava, B. K., Singh, Major, Kumar, P. and Rai, M. 2006. Influence of fertility levels and seasons on maturity and morphological traits of cabbage. *Vegetable Science*. **33(1)**: 29-33.

Chaudhury, M. R., Saikia, A. and Talukdar, N. C. 2004. Response of cauliflower to integrated nutrient management practices. *Bioved*. **15(1/2)**: 83-87.

Damse, D. N., Bhalekar, M. N. and Pawar, P. K. 2014. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of garlic. *The Bioscan*. **9(4)**: 1557-1560.

Devi, H. J., Maity, T. K. and Paria, N. C. 2003. Effect of different sources of nitrogen on yield and economics of cabbage. *Environment and Ecology*. **21(4)**: 878-880.

Fisher, A. R. and Yates, F. 1963. Statistical tables for Biological, Agricultural and medical Research, *Long Man Group Limited, London*, Sixth Edition.

Khan, S. and Pariari, A. 2012. Effect of n- fixing bio-fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *The Bioscan*.

7(3): 481-482.

Kumari, C., Mankar, A., Karuna, K., Solankey, S. S. and Singh, V. K. 2015. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and microbial inoculants on yield and quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*) cv. Pride of India. *Indian J. Agricultural Sciences*. **85(4)**: 515-8.

Manivannam, M. I. and Singh, J. P. 2004. Effect of biofertilizers on the growth and yield of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* Plenck) under Allahabad agro-climatic conditions. *Bioved*. **15(1/2)**: 33-36.

Patil, B. N., Ingle, V. G. and Patil, S. S. 2003. Effect of spacings and nitrogen levels on growth and yield of Knol-Khol (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Caulorapa*) cv. White Vienna. *Annals of Plant Physiology*. **17(2)**: 110-113.

Savci, S. 2012. An agricultural pollutant: chemical fertilizer, *International J. Environmental Science and Development*. **3(1)**: 77-80.

Sharma, A. and Chandra, A. 2004. Effect of plant destiny and nitrogen levels on physico-chemical parameters of cauliflower. *Haryana J. Horticulture*. **33(1&2)**: 148-149.

Sharma, S. K. 2002. Effect of *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter* and nitrogen on growth and yield of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*). *Indian J. Agriculture Science*. **72(9)**: 555-557.

Turan, M., Ekinci, M., Yildirim, E., Gune, A., Karagoz, K., Kotan, R. and Dursun, A. 2014. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria improved growth, nutrient, and hormone content of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) seedlings. *Turkish J. Agriculture and Forestry* **38**: 1-7.

Westerveld, S. M., McDonald, M. R., McKeown, A. W. and Dupree, C. D. S. 2003. Optimum nitrogen fertilization of summer cabbage in Ontario. *Acta Horticulturae*. **627**: 211-215.

.....From P. 1212

be distinguished in the text and in the references by letter arranged alphabetically followed by the citation of the years eg.2004a, 2004b.

Standard abbreviations and units should be used, SI units are recommended. Abbreviations should be defined at first appearance and their use in the title and abstract should be avoided. Generic names of chemical should be used. Genus and species names should be typed in italics.

PROOFS AND REPRINTS

Page proofs will be sent by e-mail to the corresponding author. The corrected proofs should be returned to the Executive Editor within 7 days of receipt. The delay in sending the proofs may shift the paper to the next issue. Correspondence through e-mail will be preferred to avoid delay.

No gratis reprints are supplied. Authors have to purchase 25 or a multiple of it (as ordered) by paying the cost decided on the basis of number of printed pages. The paper will not be printed without proper payment of reprint cost in due time.

MEMBERSHIP OF THE JOURNAL

The individual membership is open only for students and authors. Others can become members of the journal by paying the institutional rates. The membership form should be neatly filled preferably in BLOCK letters. All the authors should become subscribers.

CORRESPONDENCE

Any correspondence regarding the manuscript should be made with Executive Editor to whom the paper has been submitted.

All correspondence regarding subscription, non-receipt of the issues etc. should be made with the managing editors.

REMITTANCES

All payments must be made by DD in the name of "The Bioscan" payable at Ranchi. Outstation cheques will not be accepted.

Address for correspondence

Dr. M. P. Sinha
Executive Editor
D-13, Harmu Housing Colony
Ranchi - 834002, Jharkhand (India)
e-mail: m_psinha@yahoo.com

THE BIOSCAN : SUBSCRIPTION RATES

| | | India (Rs.) | SAARC Countries | Other Countries |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Individuals | One Year | 1,000 | 2,000(I:C) | US \$200 |
| | Life Member* | 10,000 | | |
| Institutions | One Year | 3,000 | 6,000(I:C) | US \$400 |
| | Life Member* | 30,000 | | |

*Life Member will receive the journal for 15 years while other benefits will continue whole life

THE BIOSCAN : MEMBERSHIP FORM

Please enter my subscription for the above journal for the year / life member.

Name:

Address:

E-mail:

Payment Rs. : by DD / MD in favour of
THE BIOSCAN payable at Ranchi, No. Dated is enclosed.

NOTE: FOR MEMBERSHIP THE ABOVE INFORMATION CAN BE SENT ON SEPARATE SHEET