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**ABSTRACT**
The freshwater bivalve Lamellidens marginalis were exposed to chronic dose of Profenofos, 0.6191 ppm (LC50) alone and in combination with 50mg/L L-ascorbic acid for 21 days. Percent protein contents in the mantle, foot, gill, digestive glands and whole body of control bivalve, Lamellidens marginalis was 41.76±1.50, 60.49±1.30, 51.52±0.62, 47.52±0.53 and 57.14±1.88 respectively. Percent protein contents in the mantle, foot, gill, digestive glands and whole body of bivalve, Lamellidens marginalis on Profenofos intoxication were 21.98±2.54 (p<0.001), 41.48±1.87 (p<0.01), 22.78±2.04 (p<0.01), 16.68±1.03 (p<0.05) and 30.77±2.09 (p<0.01) respectively. Percent protein contents in the mantle, foot, gill, digestive glands and whole body of bivalve, Lamellidens marginalis on exposure to Profenofos with 50mg/L L-ascorbic acid were 30.77±2.04 (p<0.001), 45.24±1.45 (p<0.01), 29.96±1.25 (p<0.001), 32.23±1.52 (p<0.05) and 35.56±1.94 (p<0.01) respectively. Protein contents in the mantle, foot, gill, digestive glands and whole body of control bivalve, Prophenofos with 50mg/L L-ascorbic acid exposed bivalve, Lamellidens marginalis showed decrease in the depletion of protein levels. The pre-exposed bivalves for 21 days exposure to chronic dose of Profenofos showed recovery in normal water and the protein contents were increased to 28.16±0.5 (p<0.001), in mantle, 48.56±0.23 (p<0.01), in foot, 30.27±0.45 (p<0.001), in gill, 24.77±0.24 (p<0.001), in digestive glands and 43.96±2.05 (p<0.01), in whole body while percent protein contents in presence of 50mg/L of L-ascorbic acid were increased to 34.63±1.82 (p<0.01), in mantle, 57.15±2.24 (p<0.01), in foot, 59.42±0.48 (p<0.01), in gill, 30.16±0.79 (p<0.05), in digestive glands and 52.04±0.45 (p<0.001), in whole body. Fast recovery of percent protein contents was observed in presence of L-ascorbic acid than the recovery in the normal freshwater. This study indicates the protective and curative property of the L-ascorbic acid against the Profenofos induced damage.

**INTRODUCTION**
Excessive use of pesticides has resulted in serious ecological and environmental problems as well as health hazards (Olea and Fernandez, 2007). Many pesticides are known inducers of oxidative stress by directly producing reactive oxygen species (ROS) and impede the natural antioxidant or oxygen free radical scavenging enzyme system (Geter et. al., 2008). Pesticide disturbs the pro-oxidant –anti-oxidant system of the cells, thereby leading to the generation of free oxygen radical and reactive oxygen species (El-Gendy et al., 2010) causing oxidations in chain. All the bio-molecules of cell (nucleic acids, lipids, proteins, polysaccharides) are potential substrates of ROS (Manduzio et al., 2005). Such an effect may be at cellular or molecular level but ultimately it would lead to physiological, pathological and biochemical disorders that may prove fatal to the organism (Jain and Kulshreshta, 2000).

Biological complex antioxidant system includes antioxidant enzymes and non-enzymatic antioxidants such as carotinoids, vitamin E and C acting against intracellular oxidative stress (Agarwal et al., 2003). Ascorbic acid has potential role to reduce the activity of free-radical induced reactions (Holloway and Peterson, 1984). Ascorbic acid prevents free radical induced protein damage (Halliwell and Gutteridge, 1999). Toxic effects of pesticides on protein content of some aquatic animals are studied by Mohanty et al.(2005), Satyaparameshwar et al. (2006) and Pawar et al. (2009).

The present study investigates the propensity of profenofos induced variation in protein level and its possible attenuation by vitamin C in a convenient model, the fresh water bivalve, Lamellidens marginalis after chronic exposure.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**
Medium sized, healthy, fresh water bivalve, Lamellidens marginalis were collected from Girna dam, 48km away from Chalisgaon. Animals were brought in laboratory and were acclimatized for a week to dechlorinated tap water. The medium sized animals were selected for experiment.
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For experimental studies the animals were divided into three groups

a) Group ‘A’ was maintained as control.
b) Group ‘B’ animals were exposed to chronic dose of profenofos (0.6191 ppm) upto 21 days.
c) Group ‘C’ animals were exposed to chronic dose of profenofos (0.6191 ppm) along with 50 mg/L of L-ascorbic acid.

Experimental design for recovery studies

Set – II

Group ‘B’ animals from set-I after 21 days exposure to profenofos were divided into two groups for recovery studies.

i) Animals pre-exposed to chronic dose (0.6191 ppm) of profenofos were allowed to self cure in normal fresh water upto 21 days.

ii) Animals pre-exposed to chronic dose (0.6191 ppm) of profenofos were allowed to cure in 50 mg/L of L-ascorbic acid added fresh water upto 21 days.

During experimentation animals were fed on fresh water algae. After every 7th, 14th and 21st days interval, animals from set-I and set-II were, dissected and tissues such as digestive glands, gills, foot, mantle were separated and whole body mass was dried at 80°C in an oven till constant weights were obtained. The total protein levels in dried powders of different tissues of control and experimental animals were estimate by the method of Lowry et al. (1951). The amount of total protein content was expressed in terms of mg of protein/100mg of dry weight of tissue.

Each observation was confirmed by taking at least three replicates. The difference in control and experimental animal group was tested for significance by using student’s ‘t’ test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data obtained regarding the protein contents in different tissues after chronic exposure to profenofos with and without L-ascorbic acid and during recovery are given in the Table 1 and 2. The total protein levels of all tissues after chronic treatment of profenofos were decreased. The higher depletion of protein in digestive gland than mantle, foot and whole body, might be due to high metabolic potency and efficiency of the gland as compared to other tissues. The digestive gland may be the site of action of pollutant in the body of bivalves or it seems to be main site of degradation and detoxification of pesticide and hence has the largest demand of the energy for the metabolic processes resulting into increasing utilization of protein to meet energy demand. Muley and Lomte (1995) and Waykar and Lomte (2001) supported the higher loss of protein in digestive gland. The severity of protein depletion increases with increase in exposure period to profenofos.

A marked fall in the protein level in all the tissues after exposure to pesticide is attributed to the impairment of protein synthesis and indicates a rapid initiation of breakdown of protein to amino acids which may enter in to TCA cycle through aminotransferase to cope up with the high energy demands and the stress conditions. These results are in agreement with the earlier findings (Parthasarathy and Joseph, 2011) which indicated that the decreased protein content might also be attributed to the destruction/necrosis of cells and consequent impairment in protein synthetic machinery. Catabolism of proteins and amino acids makes a major contribution to the total energy production. Jha (1988) supported the idea of consumption of amino acids for metabolic processes as energy source.

According to Sivaprasad and Raman Rao (1980), depletion of protein in pollutant treated animal might be due to enhanced proteolytic activity. Increase in protease activity also supported depletion of protein content (Srinivas and Purushottam Rao, 1987). Waykar and Lomte (2002) observed increased protease activity in fresh water bivalve, Parreysia cylindrica after exposure to pesticide.

Waykar and Lomte, (2001); David et al. (2004) and Bhide et al. (2006) suggested that the pesticide stress influences the conversion of tissue protein into soluble fraction that reaches in the blood for utilization. In long term exposure to profenofos much of the energy must have been used up to compensate

Table 1: Total Protein content in different tissues of Lamellidens marginalis after chronic exposure to profenofos without and with ascorbic acid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>7 days</th>
<th>14 days</th>
<th>21 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control(A)</td>
<td>Mantle</td>
<td>43.96±1.40</td>
<td>42.86±1.61</td>
<td>41.76±1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>61.54±2.40</td>
<td>61.23±1.24</td>
<td>60.49±1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gill</td>
<td>52.75±2.45</td>
<td>51.62±1.63</td>
<td>51.52±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive gland</td>
<td>48.35±1.23</td>
<td>47.52±0.65</td>
<td>47.52±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole body</td>
<td>60.81±3.01</td>
<td>58.15±1.56</td>
<td>57.14±1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profenofos (0.6191 ppm)(B)</td>
<td>Mantle</td>
<td>30.77±1.95 (-30.00)</td>
<td>26.37±1.31 (-38.46)</td>
<td>21.98±2.54 (-47.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>49.82±2.03 (-19.05)</td>
<td>45.23±1.88 (-26.12)</td>
<td>41.48±1.87 (-31.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gill</td>
<td>31.92±1.56 (-39.48)</td>
<td>27.36±1.61 (-47.00)</td>
<td>22.78±2.04 (-55.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive Gland</td>
<td>26.37±1.03 (-45.46)</td>
<td>18.58±2.03 (-60.89)</td>
<td>16.68±1.03 (-64.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole body</td>
<td>45.42±2.03 (-25.31)</td>
<td>35.16±1.29 (-39.52)</td>
<td>30.77±2.09 (-46.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profenofos (0.6191 ppm) + A.A. (50 mg/L)(C)</td>
<td>Mantle</td>
<td>34.56±1.88 (-21.37)</td>
<td>31.04±1.30 (-27.56)</td>
<td>30.77±2.04 (-26.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>54.21±1.68 (-11.90)</td>
<td>48.44±2.06 (-20.88)</td>
<td>45.24±1.45 (-25.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gill</td>
<td>38.35±2.08 (-27.29)</td>
<td>32.03±1.42 (-37.92)</td>
<td>29.96±1.25 (-41.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive Gland</td>
<td>43.86±1.24 (-9.30)</td>
<td>38.16±0.89 (-19.68)</td>
<td>32.23±1.52 (-32.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole body</td>
<td>48.35±0.58 (-20.49)</td>
<td>38.10±2.01 (-34.48)</td>
<td>35.56±1.94 (-37.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values expressed as mg/100mg dry wt. of tissue (+) or (-) indicate percent variation over control ± indicate S.D. of three observation. Values are significant at *p<0.001, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.05.
Table 2: Total Protein content in different tissues of Lamellidens marginalis during recovery after chronic exposure to Profenofos

| Treatment | Tissue          | 7 days   | 14 days  | 21 days  | Recovery
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>41.48**±1.87(-31.41)</td>
<td>42.82***±0.4(+3.23)</td>
<td>43.61***±0.46(+5.12)</td>
<td>48.56**±0.23(+17.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gill</td>
<td>22.78**±2.04(-55.79)</td>
<td>23.98**±0.45(+5.26)</td>
<td>26.36*±0.78(+15.72)</td>
<td>30.27*±0.45(+32.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive Gland</td>
<td>16.68*±1.03(-64.89)</td>
<td>18.05**±0.46(+8.19)</td>
<td>20.09***±0.79(+20.42)</td>
<td>24.77*±0.24(+48.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole body</td>
<td>30.77**±2.09(-46.15)</td>
<td>32.77**±1.23(+6.50)</td>
<td>39.56*±1.08(+28.57)</td>
<td>43.96*±2.05(+42.86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ii) Recovery in A.A. (50 mg/L)

| Treatment | Tissue          | 7 days   | 14 days  | 21 days  | Recovery
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mantle</td>
<td>21.98*±2.54(-47.36)</td>
<td>29.16*±2.04(+15.94)</td>
<td>24.39**±1.98(+30.78)</td>
<td>29.16*±2.04(+15.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>41.48**±1.87(-31.41)</td>
<td>45.39**±1.76(+9.41)</td>
<td>48.13**±1.05(+16.02)</td>
<td>57.50**±2.24(+38.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gill</td>
<td>22.78**±2.04(-55.79)</td>
<td>26.38**±2.06(+15.78)</td>
<td>29.56*±0.29(+29.78)</td>
<td>39.42**±0.46(+73.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive Gland</td>
<td>16.68*±1.03(-64.89)</td>
<td>21.98*±0.94(+31.75)</td>
<td>24.92*±1.02(+49.41)</td>
<td>30.16***±0.79(+80.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whole body</td>
<td>30.77**±2.09(-46.15)</td>
<td>38.10***±1.05(+23.80)</td>
<td>43.01**±1.56(+39.79)</td>
<td>52.04*±0.45(+69.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values expressed as mg/100mg dry wt. of tissue, (+) or (-) indicate percent variation over control, ± indicate S.D. of three observation, Values are significant at * p<0.001, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.05.

The physiological disturbances arising in animals after exposure to pesticides exhibits trends towards normalization and this rate of recovery from pesticide induced damage is faster on exposure to L-ascorbic acid indicating the preventive and curative property of the L-ascorbic acid against the pesticide induced damage. Thus it is evident that vitamin C not only confirm protection against pesticide toxicity but can also perform therapeutic role against pesticide toxicity in mollusk.
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